

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ \V\ /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date:

1 2 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1041 OF 2015.

1. Mr. Pawar Ramesh Virsen & 13 Ors., C/o. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Λpplicants.

....APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through 2 the Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 3 The Commissioner, Vocational Education & Training Directorate, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Post Box No. 10036, Mumbai-1.
- 5 The Principal Secretary, Finance Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2 The Secretary, Skill Development & Enterprisership, M.S., 6th Floor, Main Bldg., Madam Cama Road, Hutatma Rajguru Chowk, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 4 The Joint Director, Vocational Education & Training, Regional Office, Ghole Road, Shivaji Nagar, Pune-5.
- 6 The Secretary, G.A.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 12th day of **January**, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

:

Shri. K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants. Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MAT. K, MEMBER (J).

DATE

12.01.2016.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.

Maharasi ra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

E: Suchin Jude, al Order\ORDER-2016\January-16\12.01.2016\O.A. No. 1041 of 15-12.01.16.doc

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1041/15

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

By consent, the OA is taken up for final disposal. The 14 Applicants herein have brought this OA seeking regularization in their service after condoning the breaks such as they were. Now, it is clear that the subject matter covered by prayer clause (b) is that in alternative, the Respondents be given a direction to decide the representations of the Applicants submitted on 9.3.2015 and 10.3.2015 and to communicate the decision thereon to them forthwith. In my opinion, in order to avoid any technical objection as substantive aspect of the matter, this OA can be disposed of in terms of prayer clause 10(b). This OA therefore disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to consider and decide the two representations above referred to within a period of six weeks from today and communicate the decision to the Applicants within one week thereafter. No order as to costs.

> Member (J) 12.01.2016

(skw)

Asett. Registrar / Research Officers Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal 64 4 PM

San Harry - Familie Shit RATIVAGARWAT - (Nice-Chateman) ---Hon'ble Shri R & Marik (Member) 3 Advocate for Sto Applicaci Street S. A. J. S. Cherry has the control contidents All at Lici 6 collect 5 a to deckin. O A is desposed